

Message

From: Susan Nicosia [nicosias@cityofcolumbiafalls.com]
Sent: 2/17/2016 10:41:06 PM
To: Cirian, Mike [Cirian.Mike@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Tues meeting
Attachments: Answers to Columbia Falls City Council for 2_16 meeting.DOCX

Mike –

The council found your answers very helpful and informative. I spoke with the Mayor today about how misleading the Zinke article was in today's Daily Interlake – had it all wrong!

Susan

From: John.Stroiazso@glencore-ca.com [mailto:John.Stroiazso@glencore-ca.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 10:06 AM
To: nicosias@cityofcolumbiafalls.com
Cc: swright@cfaluminum.com
Subject: RE: Tues meeting

Hi Susan

Please find attached the response to the questions from below that we have.

Should you need any clarification or anything further please let me know.

John

From: Susan Nicosia [mailto:nicosias@cityofcolumbiafalls.com]
Sent: February-15-16 11:24 AM
To: Stroiazso, John (Toronto - CA) <John.Stroiazso@glencore-ca.com>; john.Stroiazso@cfaluminum.com
Subject: Tues meeting

Hello John –

Mayor Barnhart asked me to contact you regarding Tuesday, Feb. 16th Council meeting. The council had asked for follow up on outstanding questions regarding the Superfund Alternative approach. Mr. Campbell from Senator Tester's Kalispell office as well as the Washington DC office have been talking to EPA officials to get the answers. There seems to be a considerable amount of confusion about the Superfund Alternative approach. Mr. Boyd will be attending the meeting to give a report on the progress of the demolition. You are more than welcome to attend the meeting and to comment under the "Public Comment" portion of the agenda. The questions were very straight forward:

The questions from Council are as follows:


1. Does the CFAC site qualify for the Superfund alternative approach?
2. If so, is the Alternative Approach a faster and better method than the traditional NPL/Superfund approach?
3. What are the advantages of the Alternative approach?
4. What are the drawbacks of the Alternative approach?
5. Who makes the decision as to which approach is used? (guessing EPA) Is there public comment/input on this approach?
6. If the alternative approach is selected and Glencore/CFAC "walks away" after testing is completed, what happens? Does EPA then have to start the NPL process all over or does it get listed and Superfund procedures started?
7. We were led to believe that the site was already listed on the NPL – please provide clarification on the process and where it currently stands?

8. Please provide any information you believe to assist in clarifying the information in the press and presented at the Jan. 21st meeting.

You may find that there are follow up questions when you get answers to these questions,

If you believe you could provide clarity or information that would be important to the discussion, please attend. The meeting begins at 7 pm. Public Comment is shortly after the meeting begins. Please let me know if you have any questions,

Susan

Susan M. Nicosia, CPA, MPA 
City Manager/Planning & Zoning Administrator
130 6th St West, Columbia Falls, MT 59912
(406)892-4384 – Office
(406)270-9865 – Cell

"Great communities elevate the human spirit through beauty, amenity, culture and history."

PLEASE DO NOT read, copy or disseminate this communication unless you are the intended addressee. This email communication contains confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the addressee. If you have received this communication in error, please call us at (406)892-4391 and ask to speak to the sender of the communication. Also, please email the sender and notify the sender immediately that you have received this communication in error.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER: The contents of this electronic communication and any attached documents are strictly confidential and they may not be used or disclosed by someone who is not a named recipient.
If you have received this electronic communication in error please notify the sender by replying to this electronic communication inserting the word "misdirected" as the subject and delete this communication from your system.

MENTION LEGALE: Le contenu de cette communication électronique et ses pièces jointes sont strictement confidentiels et ne peuvent pas être utilisés ou divulgués par une personne qui n'est pas le destinataire désigné.
Si vous avez reçu cette communication électronique par erreur, s'il vous plaît, veuillez aviser l'expéditeur en répondant à cette communication électronique en insérant le mot 'mauvais destinataire' dans le sujet et supprimer ce message de votre système informatique.
